WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

| United States of America v. | | | ORDER OF L | ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 1 | Ned Wilson Thomas | Case Number: | CR-13-774-PHX-NVW | | | | |
| | | | . § 3142(f), a detention hearing has be ne or both, as applicable.) | een submitted to the Court. I conclude | | | | |
| × | • | ar and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant ng trial in this case. | | | | | | |
| | | reponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant ng trial in this case. | | | | | | |
| | | F | PART I FINDINGS OF FACT | | | | | |
| | (1) | - ',',',' | efendant has been convicted of a (fee e if a circumstance giving rise to fede | deral offense)(state or local offense that ral jurisdiction had existed) that is | | | | |
| | | a crime of violence as def | fined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). | | | | | |
| | | an offense for which the r | maximum sentence is life imprisonme | nt or death. | | | | |
| | | an offense for which a ma | aximum term of imprisonment of ten y | rears or more is prescribed in | | | | |
| | | a felony that was committed described in 18 U.S.C. § | ted after the defendant had been con- 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state | victed of two or more prior federal offenses e or local offenses. | | | | |
| | | | e defined in section 921), or any othe | session or use of a firearm or destructive r dangerous weapon, or involves a failure | | | | |
| | (2) | 18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. | | | | | | |
| | (3) | 3) 18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1. | | | | | | |
| | (4) | Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) esta will reasonably assure the safety not rebutted this presumption. | ablish a rebuttable presumption that not (an)other person(s) and the commo | o condition or combination of conditions unity. I further find that the defendant has | | | | |
| | | | Alternative Findings | | | | | |
| | (1) | 18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is pr | obable cause to believe that the defe | ndant has committed an offense | | | | |
| | | for which a maximum terr | m of imprisonment of ten years or mo | re is prescribed in1 | | | | |
| | | under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) | , 956(a), or 2332b. | | | | | |
| | | under 18 U.S.C. 1581-15 prescribed. | 94, for which a maximum term of imp | risonment of 20 years or more is | | | | |
| | | an offense involving a mir | nor victim under section | 2 | | | | |
| | (2) | The defendant has not rebutted the | ne presumption established by finding | 1 that no condition or combination of required and the safety of the community. | | | | |

 $^{^{1}} Insert \ as \ applicable: (a) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 801 \ et \ seq.); (b) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Import \ and \ Export \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 951 \ et \ seq.); or \ (c) \ Section \ 1 \ of \ Act \ of \ Sept. \ 15, \ 1980 \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 955a).$

 $^{{}^{2}\}text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,07,2425.$

Case 2:13-cr-00774-NVW Document 13 Filed 06/28/13 Page 2 of 3

| re is a serious risk that ure the appearance of condition or combination re is a serious risk that hidate a prospective with the credible testience as to danger that | the defendant as reson of conditions will at the defendant will witness or juror). ### Conditions will at the defendant will witness or juror). | reasonably ass (obstruct or atte | eure the safety of empt to obstruct j | f others and the dijustice) (threaten | community. |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| re is a serious risk than idate a prospective w PART II W d that the credible test | It the defendant will vitness or juror). VRITTEN STATEME (Check one of timony and informati | (obstruct or atte | empt to obstruct j | justice) (threaten | n, injure, or |
| PART II W | vitness or juror). VRITTEN STATEME (Check one of | ENT OF REASO | ONS FOR DETEN | NTION | |
| d that the credible test | Check one or timony and informati | r both, as applicable | e.) | | ar and convincing |
| d that the credible test | Check one or timony and informati | r both, as applicable | e.) | | ar and convincing |
| | | ion ³ submitted <i>a</i> | at the hearing est | tablishes by clea | ar and convincing |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: | | | | | |
| defendant has no sigr | nificant contacts in t | he District of Ar | izona. | | |
| The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. | | | | | |
| The defendant has a prior criminal history. | | | | | |
| There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. | | | | | |
| The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. | | | | | |
| defendant is facing a | minimum mandator | y of | incarcerat | tion and a maxim | num of |
| ent does not dispute th | ne information conta | ined in the Pret | rial Services Rer | nort | |
| ant does not dispute in | ie illioillation conta | mea m me rien | ilai Services Rep | Joit. | |
| | | | | | |
| | defendant has no sign defendant has no resculated to assure his/he defendant has a prior defendant attempted defendant is facing a | e defendant has no significant contacts in the defendant has no resources in the United culated to assure his/her future appearance defendant has a prior criminal history. The is a record of prior failure to appear in the defendant attempted to evade law enforce defendant is facing a minimum mandator. | e defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Area defendant has no resources in the United States from whoulated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. The is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered a defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact to defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of | defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might culated to assure his/her future appearance. defendant has a prior criminal history. dere is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarcerate. | e defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. It defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reculated to assure his/her future appearance. It defendant has a prior criminal history. It is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. |

 $^{^{3}}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. $^{\$}$ 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. $^{\$}$ 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:13-cr-00774-NVW Document 13 Filed 06/28/13 Page 3 of 3

In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention. The defendant is currently serving a sentence on tribal charges and was brought to this Court on a writ. His criminal history includes violent offenses, extreme DUI, and a probation violation. The defendant is charged with a violent crime. Thus, the defendant poses a risk of flight and a danger to the community and these risks cannot be adequately addressed with conditions.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 26th day of June, 2013.

Bridget S. Bade
United States Magistrate Judge